

QUEST 1: IDS 1114 ETHICS IN THE PUBLIC SPHERE **FALL 2023**

INSTRUCTOR

Prof. Anna Peterson

Office: 105 Anderson Hall

Tel. 352-273-2936 Email: annap@ufl.edu Office hours: TBD

TEACHING ASSISTANT

Ms. Katherine Usik

Office: XXX Anderson Hall

Tel. 352-XXX

Email: k.usik@ufl.edu Office hours: TBD

SCHEDULE AND LOCATIONS

Schedule: Lecture, Mon & Wed. 6th period (12:50-1:40), Turlington 2319 Discussion sections: Friday 4th period (MCCB G086), 5th (Turl 2333), and 6th (Turl 2354)

GENERAL EDUCATION

This course fulfills Humanities and Writing (2,000 words) Gen Ed requirements. Note that a minimum grade of 'C' is required for General Education credit.

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Public discussions about many contentious issues, including the #metoo movement and sexual violence, economic inequality, racial justice, climate change, and diversity, equity, and inclusion in higher education, have ethical questions at their core. Debates about these issues are often so polarized that constructive discussions, let alone solutions, seem hard to find. In order to address these challenges in a responsible and productive way, we need reliable sources of information, strategies for rigorous ethical reflection, and knowledge about effective ways to respond.

This interdisciplinary Quest 1 course addresses these needs by introducing students to ways that the humanities provide resources for understanding, analyzing, and addressing the ethical dimensions of important public issues. We will address contentious public issues, divided into the following modules:

- 1. Ethical Reflection
- 2. Free Speech and Hate Speech
- 3. Economic Justice
- 4. Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence
- 5. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Our readings will include scholarly works in philosophical and religious ethics as well as legal arguments, papal encyclicals, pastoral letters, historical analyses, and news articles. The crucial skills we will emphasize throughout the class include identifying the moral dimensions of legal, political, and economic problems; critically evaluating traditions and perspectives; appreciating the diversity of perspectives on these controversial issues; thinking beyond one's own interests; and approaching disagreement with open-mindedness and a willingness to be rationally persuaded.

The class is appropriate for students from any major who want to explore public moral challenges in rigorous, creative ways. Assignments will include short writings on the ethical topics listed above, and a capstone project in which students address the ethical dimensions of a public issue of importance to them. The class is discussion-based and includes a variety of interactive projects and activities.

TEXTS AND MATERIALS

Required books for class are available at the UF Bookstore. Shorter assigned readings will be available through the class Canvas page. Students are required to bring <u>hard copy</u> of the day's assigned reading to class every day; failure to do so may result in loss of participation points.

REQUIRED READINGS

Please purchase the following book:

Anthony Weston, *A Practical Companion to Ethics*, 4th edition, (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011)

All other required readings are available online or on Canvas, and are specified in the schedule below.

Recommended

- 1. A terrific guide to general writing rules is Strunk and White's *The Elements of Style*. The first edition is available online for free: http://www.bartleby.com/141/
- 2. An excellent guide to writing in ethics, religion, philosophy, and related fields is Anthony Weston's *A Rulebook for Arguments*.

SUMMARY OF ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADE DISTRIBUTION

Details about each assignment are available below

| 1. | Participation | 50 | % |
|----|---------------|----|---|
| | | | |

- 2. Two short papers (1000-1200 words each) 60% (30% each)
- 3. Capstone project4. Outside event reflection paper5%

COURSE POLICIES AND STUDENT RESOURCES

Attendance Policy

Students are expected to attend class (lecture and discussion sections) regularly and to arrive on time. Unexcused absences from more than three classes will negatively affect your participation grade. For each unexcused absence beyond the third, you will lose 10% of your participation grade (e.g. a 100% will become a 90%).

Absences will be excused in situations beyond the student's control (illness, family emergency, etc.). Please let me know as soon as possible if you must miss class.

Requirements for class attendance and make-up exams, assignments, and other work are consistent with university policies specified at: https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/attendance.aspx.

Academic Honesty

UF students are bound by The Honor Pledge, which states, "We, the members of the University of Florida community, pledge to hold ourselves and our peers to the highest standards of honor and integrity by abiding by the Honor Code. On all work submitted for credit by students at the University of Florida, the following pledge is either required or implied: "On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment." The Honor Code (http://www.dso.ufl.edu/sccr/process/student-conduct-honor-code/) specifies a number of behaviors that are in violation of this code and the possible sanctions. Furthermore, you are obligated to report any condition that facilitates academic misconduct to appropriate personnel. If you have any questions or concerns, please consult with the instructor.

Plagiarism on any assignment will automatically result in a grade of "E" for the course. Plagiarism is defined in the University of Florida's Student Honor Code as follows: "A student shall not represent as the student's own work all or any portion of the work of another. Plagiarism includes (but is not limited to): (a) quoting oral or written materials, whether

published or unpublished, without proper attribution, and (b) submitting a document or assignment which in whole or in part is identical or substantially identical to a document or assignment not authored by the student." Students found guilty of academic misconduct will be prosecuted in accordance with the procedures specified in the UF honesty policy.

Making Up Work

Work is due as specified in the syllabus. Late work is subject to a 1/3 grade penalty for each 24 hour period it is late (e.g., a paper that would've earn an A if turned in in class on Monday becomes an A- if received Tuesday, a B+ if received Wednesday, etc, with the weekend counting as two days). To be excused from submitting work at the assigned time, you must give 24 hours advance notice and/or meet the UF standards for an excused absence.

Students Requiring Accommodations

Students with disabilities requesting accommodations should first register with the Disability Resource Center (352-392-8565, www.dso.ufl.edu/drc/) by providing appropriate documentation. Once registered, students will receive an accommodation letter which must be presented to the instructor when requesting accommodation. Students with disabilities should follow this procedure as early as possible in the semester.

Course Evaluation

Students are expected to provide feedback on the quality of instruction in this course by completing UF's standard online evaluations as well as a course-specific evaluation that focuses on course content and the experience of the Quest curriculum. Class time will be allocated for the completion of both evaluations.

Class Demeanor

Students are expected to arrive to class on time, stay the full class period, and behave in a manner that is respectful to the instructor and to fellow students. Electronic devices should be turned off and placed in closed bags. Opinions held by other students should be respected in discussion, and conversations that do not contribute to the discussion should be kept to a minimum.

Materials and Supplies Fees

There are no additional fees for this course planned, other than possible costs for producing a poster for the final research fair. Poster costs would be shared among group members and should be under \$5/person.

Counseling and Wellness Center

Contact information for the Counseling and Wellness Center: http://www.counseling.ufl.edu/cwc/Default.aspx, 392-1575; and the University Police Department: 392-1111 or 9-1-1 for emergencies.

Writing Studio

The writing studio is committed to helping University of Florida students meet their academic and professional goals by becoming better writers. Visit the writing studio online at

http://writing.ufl.edu/writing-studio/ or in 302 Tigert Hall for one-on-one consultations and workshops.

GRADED WORK AND ASSIGNMENTS

(YOU MUST COMPLETE ALL THE ASSIGNED WORK IN ORDER TO PASS THE CLASS)

1. Participation and Attendance (5% of final grade)

You must come to class on time and prepared. This means keeping current on the reading assignments and being aware of the course schedule and activities, as presented in this syllabus, discussed in class, and announced on the course website. It also means bringing the day's reading to class with you. Consistent high-quality class participation—in large and small groups—is expected. "High-quality" in this case means:

- o informed (i.e., shows evidence of having done assigned work),
- o thoughtful (i.e., shows evidence of having understood and considered issues raised in readings and other discussions), and
- o considerate (e.g., takes the perspectives of others into account).

If you have personal issues that prohibit you from joining freely in class discussion, e.g., shyness, language barriers, etc., see the instructor as soon as possible to discuss alternative modes of participation.

Your participation grade will be based on:

- Attendance. Unexcused absences from more than three classes will negatively affect your participation grade. For each unexcused absence beyond the third, you will lose 10% of your participation grade (e.g. a 100% will become a 90%).
- Engagement
- Unannounced reading quizzes

Requirements for class attendance and make-up exams, assignments, and other work are consistent with university policies specified at:

https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/attendance.aspx.

2. In-class writing on ethical theories (10% of final grade) Friday, Sept. 8

Short-essay format writing assignment on ethical theories, completed during discussion section (50 min.)

3. First short papers: News analysis – Free Speech/Hate Speech (25% of grade) Due: September 24

This short paper will address ethical issues raised by free speech and/or hate speech. For details see description below.

4. Second short paper: News analysis – Sexual harassment/violence OR economic justice (25% of grade)

Due: Nov. 12

This short paper will address sexual harassment/violence OR economic justice. For details see description below.

3 & 4 - News analysis assignments: general instructions

Over the course of the semester students will be asked to write *two* original papers (1000-1200 words each), which will combine to satisfy a 2000 word General Education requirement. For each paper, students will be asked to find their own news story and write an ethical analysis. Each paper must include a full copy of the news source upon which the ethical analysis is based. Please see the attached rubric for the assessment method and the course schedule for due dates. I will also provide a detailed assignment sheet on Canvas.

General instructions for short papers

All papers must be typed, double-spaced with one-inch margins, 12 pt Times New Roman. You must include a word-count at the top of your first page. Please also include your name, the date you hand in the assignment, and title your essays. If it is difficult for you to choose a title, consider that a clue that you may need to focus your essay more.

Each paper is to be uploaded onto the course's e-learning site in Canvas. You can log in and find the course web page here: elearning.ufl.edu. The papers will be graded electronically and returned to you electronically. We will consider allowing you to turn in a paper late without penalty only if you have a valid and documented reason for doing so. If you turn in a paper without a valid or documented reason, 1/3 of a letter grade will be deducted for each day it is late (including weekend days!).

It is not truly possible to separate the quality of ideas from the quality of the language through which they are expressed, but we attempt to do so by using a grading rubric for papers. The rubric clearly identifies how we assign point values to each of four levels of achievement (Excellent, Good, Needs Improvement, Unacceptable), according to what level you have reached with respect to each of six areas: the appropriateness of the news article chosen, the presence and clarity of a thesis, the explanation of the issue, the evaluation of the issue, writing mechanics, and writing coherence. Please see the rubric for short papers included at the end of the syllabus for elaboration of these requirements.

5. Capstone project: What should higher education reform look like? (30%) Due: Dec 10; presentations in class on Dec. 4 and 6.

The capstone project asks students to explore the ethical dimensions of higher education policy in Florida by reflecting on your vision of higher education reform. You can focus on DEI and CRT or address other issues related to higher education, such as proposals for free college tuition, forgiveness of loans, teaching and research issues raised by specific academic disciplines, and much more.

The format of the assignment is open. You may write a short essay or create a project in another format, such as a poster, podcast, documentary film, interview, puppet show, interpretive dance, or art installation, among other options.

Please use this opportunity both to draw on what you have learned in this class and to be creative. You may work individually, in pairs, or in a small group, if approved by the instructor. Topic and format must also be approved by the instructor.

Your final project/paper is due on Dec. 10, but you will present your work during the final week of class. Presentations will be based on your research and drafts of your final project.

6. Experiential learning: Outside events and reflection paper (5% of grade) Due: Dec. 10.

You must attend at least one outside event, on or off campus, that is related to the themes of the class. Write a short (one page) reflection paper analyzing the way issues raised in those conversations are linked to issues we have discussed in class.

*Extra Credit:

There may be opportunities to attend and write about other events for extra credit.

COURSE SCHEDULE

NOTE: COURSE CONTENT IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE ASSIGNMENT DEADLINES AND EVENTS INDICATED IN **BOLD**

| Week | Topic | Readings and Assignments |
|------|--------------|--|
| | Module 1 | Ethical reflection |
| 1 | Introduction | Wed. 8/23: |
| | to the class | Introduction to the class |
| | | Watch: How do we talk about ethics in the public sphere? |
| | | Fri. 8/25 |
| | | Discussion sections – getting to know you! |
| | | |
| | | Monday 8/28: |
| | | Weston, <i>Practical Companion to Ethics</i> , Ch. 1 and 2 |
| | | Wed. 8/30 |
| | | Weston, Practical Companion to Ethics, Ch. 3 |
| | | Friday 9/1 |
| | | Discussion sections |
| | | Steven Petrow, "Three Ways to Practice Civility" |
| 2 | Introduction | Monday 9/4 |
| | to Ethical | Weston, Weston, Practical Companion to Ethics, Ch. 4 and 5 |
| | Theories | - - |
| | | Wed. 9/6 |
| | | Weston, Practical Companion to Ethics, Ch. 6 |

| | | Fri. 9/8 Discussion sections In-class writing on ethical theories |
|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | Module 2 | Free Speech and Hate Speech |
| 4 | How can we talk about free speech? | Monday 9/11 Bill of Rights: The First Amendment National Socialist Party v. Skokie (1977) Brandenburg v. Ohio (1969) Wednesday 9/13 Lecture: Balancing Free Speech Read: Richard Delgado and Jean Stefancic, "Hateful Speech, Loving Communities: Why Our Notion of 'A Just Balance' Changes So Slowly." California Law Review. 82, No. 4 (Jul.,1994), pp. 851-869 Friday 9/15 Discussion sections |
| 4 | How can we talk about free speech? | Monday 9/18 Lecture: White nationalism and hate speech debates Watch: Video documentary on Richard Spencer, in Graeme Wood, "His Kampf" The Atlantic Listen: The Hate Debate Wednesday 9/20 Lecture: Academic freedom Read: TBD Friday 9/22 Discussion sections: Free speech and popular culture Watch: Sinead O'Connor on SNL Read: "The Night Sinead O'Connor Took on the Pope on SNL" Paper # 1 due via upload to Canvas by 11:59pm Sunday, Sept. 24 |
| | Module 3 | Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence |
| L | | |

| 5 | How can we talk about sexism? | Monday 9/25 Lecture: What is sexism? Read: Michelle Anderson, "Negotiating Sex" (Villanova University School of Law, Working Paper, Aug. 2005) Wednesday 9/27 Lecture: What is patriarchy? And should we talk about it? Read: Sylvia Walby, "Theorising Patriarchy." Sociology 23, No. 2 (May 1989), 213-234 Friday 9/29 Discussion sections Watch: Laura Bates, "Everyday Sexism" |
|---|--|---|
| 6 | How can we talk about sexual harassment and sexual violence? | Monday 10/2 Lecture: Sexual harassment and sexual violence Read: Elizabeth Kisling, "Street Harassment: The Language of Sexual Terrorism." Discourse & Society 2, No. 4 (1991): 451-460. Wednesday 10/4 Lecture: Rape Read: Claudia Card, The Unnatural Lottery, Ch. 5 "Rape Terrorism" Watch: Ines Hercovich, "Why Women Stay Silent after Sexual Assault" Friday 10/6: Homecoming, no class |
| 7 | How can we talk about sexual consent? | Monday 10/9 Lecture: Theorizing consent Read: TBD Wednesday 10/11: Lecture: Fleshing out consent Read: Tom Doughterty, "Yes Means Yes: Consent as Communication." Philosophy & Public Affairs 43, No. 3 (summer 2015), 224-25 Friday 10/13: Modular Debate on consent |
| 8 | How can we talk about abortion? | Monday 10/16 Lecture: Abortion as an ethical issue Read: Philippa Foot, "Abortion and the Problem of Double Effect." (1967). |

| | | Don Marquis, "Why Abortion is Immoral." <i>The Journal of Philosophy</i> 86, No. 4 (Apr.1989), 183-20 |
|----|--|--|
| | | Wednesday 10/18 Lecture: Restricting access to abortion Read: Joanna Erdman, "Theorizing Time in Abortion Law and Human Rights" |
| | | Friday 10/20 Discussion sections: |
| | Module 4 | Economic Justice |
| | How can we | |
| 9 | talk about economic | Monday 10/23 Excerpt from Barbara Ehrenreich, <i>Nickled and Dimed: On (not)</i> Getting by in America |
| | justice? | Wednesday 10/25 Jeffrey Sachs, "Facing up to Income Inequality," Ch. 5 in Building the New American Economy: Smart, Fair, and Sustainable (Columbia Univ. Press, 2017). |
| | | Friday 10/27 Discussion sections wealth inequity |
| | | Pedro Nicolai da Costa, " <u>America's Humungous Wealth Gap is</u> Widening Further." Forbes (May 29, 2019). |
| 10 | How can we talk some more about economic | Monday 10/30 1. US Catholic Bishops, <i>Economic Justice for All</i> (1985), Ch. I, II, III 2. US Catholic Bishops, "Economic Justice for All a Decade Later" 3. Francis I, "Message for First World Day of the Poor" (2017) |
| | justice? | Wednesday 11/1 Ethical principles from Rawls – reading TBD |
| | | Friday 11/3 Discussion sections – wealth and ethics |
| | | Paul Piff, "Does money make you mean?" (Podcast) |
| | | "Drivers of Expensive Cars Less Likely to Stop for Pedestrians" |
| | | "If you drive an expensive car, you're probably a jerk" |
| | | |

| 11 | Still more talk about economic justice | Monday 11/6 Classroom activity: Original position game Wednesday 11/8 Classroom activity: Wealth inequality game Friday 11/10 No class – Veterans Day observed Paper # 2 due via upload to Canvas by 11:59pm Sunday, Nov. 12 |
|----|--|---|
| | Module 5 | Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion |
| 12 | How can we talk about diversity, inclusion, and equity? | Monday 11/13 Lecture: What is DEI? Read: Defining DEI (University of Michigan) What is DEI? (University of California – Irvine) What is DEI? (US Chamber of Commerce) Wednesday 11/15 Lecture: Diversity, equity, and inclusion as ethical values Rodney Coates, "Florida's Academic Standards Distort the Contributions that Enslaved Africans Made to American Society," The Conversation (Aug. 14, 2023). Read: TBD Friday 11/17 Discussion sections Brainstorm final project ideas in small groups |
| 13 | Still talking about diversity, inclusion, and equity | Monday 11/20 Lecture: Who belongs? Who doesn't Read: Daniel Solorzano, Miguel Ceja, Tara Yosso, "Critical Race Theory, Racial Microaggressions, and Campus Racial Climate: The Experiences of African American College Students." <i>The Journal of Negro Education</i> , Vol. 69, No. 1-2 (Winter - Spring, 2000), pp. 60-73 Wednesday 11/22 Thanksgiving Holiday Friday 11/24 |

| | | Thanksgiving holiday |
|----|------------------------------|---|
| 14 | Can we talk about diversity, | Monday 11/27 Lecture: Support for DEI in higher education |
| | inclusion, and equity | Read: TBD |
| | in higher | Wednesday 11/29 |
| | education? | Lecture: Opposition to DEI in higher education |
| | | Read: |
| | | <u>SB 266</u> |
| | | <u>HB 999</u> |
| | | Friday 12/1 |
| | | Discussion sections: Work on group projects |
| 15 | Presentation | Monday 12/4 |
| | of capstone projects | Wednesday 12/6 |
| | | Capstone assignments must be uploaded to Canvas by 11:59pm on Sunday, Dec. 10 |
| | | Outside event reflection paper must be uploaded to Canvas by 11:59 pm on Sunday, Dec. 10. |

Grading ScaleThis course will employ the following grading scale:

| A | 4.0 | 94-100 |
|----|------|--------|
| A- | 3.67 | 90-93 |
| B+ | 3.33 | 87-89 |
| В | 3.0 | 84-86 |
| B- | 2.67 | 80-83 |
| C+ | 2.33 | 77-79 |
| C | 2.0 | 74-76 |
| C- | 1.67 | 70-73 |
| D+ | 1.33 | 67-69 |
| D | 1.0 | 64-66 |
| D- | 0.67 | 60-63 |
| Е | 0.0 | 0-59 |

More information on UF's grading policies is available at https://catalog.ufl.edu/UGRD/academic-regulations/grades-grading-policies/.

Essay Rubric

| | Excellent | Good | Needs Improvement | Unacceptable | Points (of 100) |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|--------------------|
| News Article | An appropriate article is chosen: • The article is included with the paper • Its content is ethical in nature • It is about an issue of | An appropriate article is chosen: The article is included with the paper Its content is ethical in nature It is about an issue of contemporary public concern (last 6 mo.) | The article is included with the paper, however: The topic is not clearly ethical It is not about an issue of contemporary public concern (last | The article is not submitted with the paper. The article is not ethical in nature, and is not about an issue of contemporary public concern (last 6 mo.) | |
| | contemporary public concern (last 6 mo.) • It is of 'digestible' size (substantive enough to write about, not too long that it cannot be reasonably addressed) | However: It may not offer enough substance to argue about It may be too large or unwieldy for the purposes of argumentation 4 points | 6 mo.) | | 5 points |
| | 5 points | | 1- 3 points | 0 points | |
| Thesis | A clear statement of the main conclusion of the paper. | The thesis is obvious, but there is no single clear statement of it. | The thesis is present, but must be uncovered or reconstructed from the text of the paper. | There is no thesis. | |
| | 5 points | 4 points | 1- 3 points | 0 points | 5 points |
| Exposition | • The paper contains accurate and precise summarization, description and/or paraphrasing of the issue being discussed | •The summarization, description and/or paraphrasing of the issue is fairly accurate and precise. | The summarization, description and/or paraphrasing of the issue is fairly accurate, but not precise. | The summarization, description and/or paraphrasing of the issue is inaccurate. | |
| | • Key concepts and theories are accurately and completely explained | Key concepts and theories are explained. | Key concepts and theories are not explained. | Key concepts and theories may be identified but are not explained. | |
| | When appropriate, good, clear examples are used to illuminate concepts and | Examples are clear, but may not be well chosen. | Examples are not clear, and may not be well chosen or appropriate. | Examples are not clear, are inappropriate, and/or do not illuminate concepts and issues. | 35 points |

| Evaluation | issues and/or support arguments. • The paper uses appropriate textual support. 32-35 points The paper presents an original argument regarding a position on an issue of ethical import. This argument is supported by: • checking for support in the argument • checking for the argument's internal consistency • considering objections to one's own argument. This involves presenting 1 or more plausible and appropriate objections, and responding to them thoroughly. 32-35 points | The paper has textual support, but other passages may have been better choices. 29-31 points The paper presents an original argument regarding a position on an issue of ethical import. This argument is supported by: checking for support in the argument checking for the argument's internal consistency considering objections to one's own argument, though the objections may be ill chosen and/or not thoroughly responded to. | The paper presents an original argument but describes and/or considers its plausibility in a weak or superficial way. It does not check for the support offered in the argument or the argument's internal consistency. It does not defend the central argument against plausible objections. | No textual support. 0-25 points The paper does not present an original argument about the issues in question, or, it fails to offer support through rational argument. | 35 points |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------|
| | | 29-31 points | 26-28 points | 0-25 points | |
| Writing: Mechanics | All sentences are complete and grammatical. | All sentences are complete and grammatical. | A few sentences are incomplete and/or ungrammatical.Paper has several spelling | Many sentences are incomplete and/or ungrammatical. | |
| | • Paper has been spell- checked and proofread, and has no errors, and no rhetorical questions or slang. | Paper has been spell-checked and proofread, and has very few errors, and no rhetorical questions or slang. | errors, rhetorical questions and/or uses of slang. | • Paper has many spelling errors, rhetorical questions and/or uses of slang. | |
| | 9-10 points | 7-8 points | 5-6 point | 0-4 points | 10 points |

| Writing: Flow and Coherence | • All words are chosen for their precise meanings and are used consistently. | Most words are chosen for their precise meanings. | Words are not chosen for their precise meanings. | Words are not chosen for their precise meanings. | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------|
| | • All of the content of the paper is relevant to the main line of argument; no extraneous material. | • Most of the content of the paper is relevant to the main line of argument; extraneous material is at a minimum. | May be substantial extraneous material. | Substantial extraneous material. | 10 noints |
| | • Ideas are developed in a natural order. Premises fit together naturally and it is easy to identify the main line of argument and to understand what is being said. | • Ideas are mostly developed in a natural order. It is not hard to understand what is being said. | • Ideas are not always developed in a natural order. It is sometimes difficult to identify the line of argument or to understand what is being said. | • Ideas are not developed in a natural order. Premises do not fit together naturally and it is difficult to identify the line of argument or to understand what is being said. | 10 points |
| | All new or unusual terms are well-defined. | Most new or unusual terms are well-defined. | New or unusual terms are not well-defined. | • New or unusual terms are not defined. | |
| | • Information (names, facts, etc.) is accurate. | • Information (names, facts, etc.) is accurate. | • Information (names, facts, etc.) is mostly accurate. | • Information (names, facts, etc.) is inaccurate. | |
| | 9-10 points | 7-8 points | 5-6 points | 0-4 points | |