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Revolution and Reconciliation in America and South Africa
UF Quest 1—War & Peace
General Education—Humanities, International

Note: A minimum grade of C is required for General Education credit.

Spring 2019
Tuesday and Thursday, TBA
Credit Hours: 3
Course Fee: $0

Course Web Site: http://elearning.ufl.edu/

Dr. Elizabeth Ross, Associate Professor of Art History
Email: eross@arts.ufl.edu
Walk-in Office Hours: Tuesday, 4-6pm or by appointment
Office Location: Fine Arts C (FAC) 119a
Office Phone: (352) 273-3067

The best way to reach Dr. Ross is through email. You do not need an appointment for walk-in office hours, but without an appointment, you may have to wait your turn.

Teaching Assistant
Leslie Todd
Email: ltodd1288@ufl.edu
Office Hours: TBA

Course Description:
What is the nature of human conflict? How is it resolved? What role do the arts play in conflict and its resolution? This course will investigate those essential questions through three case studies in the United States and South Africa—the revolutionary chaos in New York City in 1775–76, the controversy over the competition for the Vietnam Veterans Memorial in 1981, and the 1993 Multi-Party Talks to create a new government for South Africa after apartheid. The first half of the class will focus on the United States; the second will turn to South Africa.

At the heart of the course stands the Reacting to the Past pedagogy that explores historical events through complex, rigorous, multi-week role-playing games. This course will use two games that have been peer-reviewed, play-tested, and published by the Reacting Consortium of faculty, colleges, and universities: Patriots, Loyalists, and Revolution in New York City, 1775–1776 and The Collapse of Apartheid and the Dawn of Democracy in South Africa, 1993. For each game, each student will be assigned a historically-based character with detailed information about his/her biography, views, and goals, and they will participate in the simulation of events and write papers in that role. Students will examine the answer to the essential questions—and the political, cultural, social, and economic underpinning of those answers—from the point of view of their character. Then they will test their character’s answers in engagement with allied and adversarial characters, each advocating for their own answers. Patriots, Loyalists delves into the nature of conflict, challenging received ideas about the inevitable triumph of great American heroes by exploring the confusion, tumult, and competing goals of individuals and social groups (including women, laborers, and slaves) in revolutionary New York. Collapse of Apartheid immerses students in the challenges of forging peace, as they try to negotiate the form of a democratic government in a society with gross imbalances of wealth and power and a recent history of violent conflict.

The Vietnam Veterans Memorial in Washington, DC exemplifies the potential for art to help resolve conflict—in this case, not the military battles, but the accompanying domestic civil strife and trauma of loss—by engaging the memory of the national community. The monument also engendered conflict over its design. We will assess the roles that the arts play in interpreting and remembering conflict also through works that complement the games: in particular, Hamilton: An American Musical, a contemporary representation of the Revolutionary War; South African photography that challenges apartheid and its aftermath; and Alachua County’s truth and reconciliation process in response to the new National Memorial for Peace and Justice.
Course Policies:

Attendance and due dates. Requirements for class attendance and make-up exams, assignments, and other work in this course are consistent with university policy that can be found at: https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/attendance.aspx. Please look at your calendar at the beginning of the semester to see if you have any commitments (for example, religious holidays) that conflict with classes, due dates, or exams. If you do have a conflict, contact Dr. Ross in advance.

Email. The instructors will contact you through the eLearning system or by emailing your UF address. Please check your account.

Classroom demeanor. No talking on cell phones, ringing or beeping, texting, social media browsing/posting, emailing, noisy or smelly eating, etc., during class, except as part of appropriate game play during game sessions. Students are expected to assist in maintaining a classroom environment that is conducive to learning. Inappropriate behavior shall result, minimally, in a request to leave class.

Accommodation. Students with disabilities requesting accommodations should first register with the Disability Resource Center (352-392-8565, https://drc.dso.ufl.edu/) by providing appropriate documentation. Once registered, students will receive an accommodation letter which must be presented to Dr. Ross when requesting accommodation. Students with disabilities should follow this procedure as early as possible in the semester.

Course evaluations. Students are expected to provide feedback on the quality of instruction in this course by completing online evaluations at https://evaluations.ufl.edu. Evaluations are typically open during the last two or three weeks of the semester, but students will be given specific times when they are open. Summary results of these assessments are available to students at https://evaluations.ufl.edu/results.

Diversity. It is my intent that we explore the content of this course in a way that is respectful of diversity—gender identity, sexuality, disability, age, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, race, nationality, religion, and culture. It is also my intent to present content that explores diverse points of view, which might be challenging. Maintaining a respectful environment will be both my responsibility and yours. It is my intent that students from all backgrounds and perspectives be well-served by this course and that the diversity that students bring to this class be viewed as a resource, strength, and benefit. Your suggestions are encouraged and appreciated.

Academic Honesty:

UF students are bound by The Honor Pledge which states, “We, the members of the University of Florida community, pledge to hold ourselves and our peers to the highest standards of honor and integrity by abiding by the Honor Code. On all work submitted for credit by students at the University of Florida, the following pledge is either required or implied: ‘On my honor, I have neither given nor received unauthorized aid in doing this assignment.’” The Honor Code (https://scer.dso.ufl.edu/students/student-conduct-code/) specifies a number of behaviors that are in violation of this code and the possible sanctions. Furthermore, you are obligated to report any condition that facilitates academic misconduct to appropriate personnel. If you have any questions or concerns, please consult with the instructors.

UF’s policies regarding academic honesty, the honor code, and student conduct related to the honor code will be strictly enforced. This means that cheating and plagiarism will be penalized, and a report will be filed with the Student Conduct and Conflict Resolution office. For a discussion of how to avoid plagiarism, consult Misuse of Sources on the course web site. If you have any questions, please ask an instructor. An online plagiarism checker service may be used to screen papers.
Grading Scale:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter Grade</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A-</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>B-</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>D+</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>D-</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Equivalent</td>
<td>93+</td>
<td>90-92</td>
<td>87-89</td>
<td>83-86</td>
<td>80-82</td>
<td>77-79</td>
<td>73-76</td>
<td>70-72</td>
<td>67-69</td>
<td>63-66</td>
<td>60-62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See the following web page for UF policies for assigning grade points: https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/grades.aspx.

Course Requirements:

**Patriots, Loyalists**
- Quiz — 20 points
- Feedback to Speaking Partner — 20 points
- Patriots, Loyalists Speech Outline Assignment — 25 points
- Patriots, Loyalists Paper — 100 points
- Patriots, Loyalists Role Performance—175 points (including 25 points for first podium speech)
  Total — 340 points

**Collapse of Apartheid**
- Collapse of Apartheid Papers —100 points each for a total of 200 points
- Collapse of Apartheid Role Performance — 180 points
  Total — 380 points

Discussion board posts—40 points each for a total of 80 points
Final Monument Project—150 points
Attendance—50 points
“Misuse of Sources” quiz — Pass/Fail

TOTAL—1000 points

See the course web site on eLearning for further details on each assignment.

**Patriots, Loyalists** and **Collapse of Apartheid Role Performance**
We will be playing two games—Patriots, Loyalists and Collapse of Apartheid—designed according to a teaching concept called Reacting to the Past. Each game will take place over several weeks. For each, you will be assigned a historically-based character with detailed information about his/her biography, views, and goals, and you will participate in a simulation of historical events and write papers in that role. Game play will require you to speak persuasively about the issues in short prepared speeches to the class, impromptu public interventions, and private conversations; to use primary sources in framing historically appropriate arguments; to ask pointed questions of speakers; to strategize independently and with allies; and to negotiate deals. Your participation in the games will be assessed according to the rubrics at the end of this syllabus.

The games will be the most intense periods of work for the class. I encourage you to mark the dates on your calendar, avoid conflicts, and let me know well in advance of any necessary absences or other situations that may affect your participation.

**QUIZ**
At the beginning of each game, you will need to read historical background information, primary sources, and an explanation of the rules and structure of the game. On January 17, before the first game, there will be a quiz on this material in class.

**FEEDBACK TO SPEAKING PARTNER**
During the first week of class, to prepare for the first game, there will be a workshop where you will work with a partner to practice elements of effective public speaking. During the first game, you will observe your partner’s speech to the class and filling out a feedback form.
PAPERS/SPEECH OUTLINE ASSIGNMENT

Speech Outline Assignment (Patriots, Loyalists)

During the first half of the Patriots, Loyalists game, you will give a prepared speech from the podium as part of your role. This assignment will help prepare that speech, as well as the rest of your role performance. You will outline your argument and evidence for the speech and post your outline to a discussion board where other students can view it and reply. You will receive full points for an outline submitted on time with all required elements. The content and delivery of the speech itself will be graded separately, according to the Patriots, Loyalists role performance rubric at the end of this syllabus.

Paper #1 (Patriots, Loyalists)

Detailed instructions for your paper, tailored to your character, can be found on your role sheet. Follow the instructions for “second position paper,” except that the paper should be 750–1000 words in length. Drawing on primary sources, write a position paper to persuade wavering characters of the wisdom/justice or foolishness/injustice of declaring independence from your character’s point of view. This paper will help prepare your role performance for the final sessions of the Patriots, Loyalists game and prompt you to reflect on one of the essential questions of the course: why or why not make war?

Paper #2 (Collapse of Apartheid)

Drawing on primary sources suggested in your role and/or faction sheet, write a speech or letter, 600-800 words in length, to your character’s main constituents addressing a specific problem that needs to be worked out in designing a new constitution for South Africa. The speech/letter must persuade the audience (your party) to adopt this issue as a priority in the constitutional deliberations. In addition to developing the problem, it should recommend a strategy or way forward for the party to adopt. This paper will help prepare your role performance for Collapse of Apartheid. Follow these instructions instead of the instructions for papers in the Collapse of Apartheid game book.

How to choose a topic: In the second week of the game, you will divide into three Constitutional Working Groups (CWGs) that will each work on developing part of the constitution. Look at pages 40–42 of the Collapse of Apartheid game book for a list of topics the groups will address and the important questions for each topic. Then look the “Major Issues for Debate” on pages 37–38 for the larger issues at stake in debating the elements of the constitution. Think about your character’s goals. Choose a constitutional problem from these lists that is important to achieving your character’s goals.

Paper #3 (Collapse of Apartheid)

Write a newspaper editorial, 600–700 words in length, that focuses on the constitutional process, explaining why it did or did not succeed, in your character’s view. Discuss how that process and its outcomes reflect something of the particular characteristics of South African society or culture, how it connects to aspects of the history of governance and/or the culture of struggle, and what it implies about the future. This paper asks you to reflect on the outcome of Collapse of Apartheid and a second essential question for the course: why did making peace succeed or fail? Follow these instructions instead of the instructions for papers in the Collapse of Apartheid game book.

DISCUSSION BOARD POSTS

DBP #1—During our discussion of the Vietnam Veterans Memorial, you will visit UF’s Harn Museum of Art to experience works of art that respond to and remember conflict. You will write a 1- to 2-paragraph discussion board post about your visit.

DBP #2—At the end of the course you will write a second discussion board post, responding to your experiences in the course and considering how the course may affect your course of study at UF and beyond.
FINAL PROJECT
You will design (1) a monument to the participants in or survivors of a conflict or (2) a work of art for a public site that interprets a conflict. Your competition entry will include (a) a sketch, collage, or other visual representation of the design; (b) a sketch, collage, or other visual representation showing how the monument/public art will relate meaningfully to its site; (c) an explanation, 600-800 words in length, of the ideas behind your design. Your explanation should (a) consider the role the monument/public art will play in shaping our cultural memory of the conflict through its form, site, and appeal to the viewer; (b) persuade the reader of the importance of remembering the conflict and remembering it in the way you suggest; and (c) compare the monument/public art to at least one of the monuments or visual works we have discussed in the course. If you are intimidated by the idea of making a sketch or collage, remember the simplicity of Maya Lin’s sketches for her winning design.

MISUSE OF SOURCES QUIZ
In preparation for the papers, you will read a brief text: Gordon Harvey, “Misuse of Sources,” Chapter 3 of Writing with Sources, 2nd ed. (Indianapolis: Hackett, 2008), 29-44. You will then take a short online quiz. There is a link to the text on the front page of the course web site. You may take the quiz as many times as you need until you get all the questions right, but you must get all the questions right to pass the quiz (= 15 points). You will not receive a grade for the quiz, but you must pass the quiz to pass the course.

Required Textbooks to purchase:

Other Required Readings (available via links or PDFs on eLearning):
- Primary documents from the Vietnam Veterans Memorial competition and controversy, including the Call for Entries, the winning entry, editorial/letter criticizing/defending the winning entry, statements from the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts meeting, a White House memo, and a newspaper account of the artist’s response
- Tinker, Cleveland. “County effort aims to make peace with the past.” The Gainesville Sun, 27 June 2018. [Article choice to be updated as issue develops.]
- Rand Daily Mail, 17 December 1949, 1, 4–5.


Optional Readings (available via links or PDFs on eLearning):


• Your role sheets may suggest optional readings that apply to your game character in particular.

WEEK 1
January 8— Introduction
Warm-up Game—Making History: The Breakup
Reading:
Patriots, Loyalists Game Book, 2–17

January 10— “Speaking to Connect” Workshop
Role Questionnaire due at 5pm
Misuse of Sources Quiz due at 5pm

Reading:
Get started on the reading due next week.

January 11— Role assignments for Patriots, Loyalists distributed via eLearning after end of drop/add

WEEK 2
January 15— Historical and Philosophical Background of Rebellion (Locke)
The Situation in New York, 1775
Reading:
Patriots, Loyalists Game Book, 18–131

Optional Reading:


January 17— Patriots, Loyalists: Game Rules and Faction Meetings
Reading Quiz in class
Reading:
Patriots, Loyalists Game Book, 131–159
WEEK 3
January 20 — Speech Outline Assignment due 5pm for Group 1
January 21 — Speech Outline Assignment due 5pm for Group 2
January 22 — Patriots, Loyalists: Game Session 1-2 (April 1775)

January 24 — Patriots, Loyalists: Game Session 3 (Summer 1775)
Speech Outline Assignment due 5pm for Group 3

WEEK 4
January 29 — Patriots, Loyalists: Game Session 4 (Fall 1775)

January 31 — Patriots, Loyalists: Game Session 5 (Early 1776 through March)
Paper #1 due for student playing John Cuyler

Reading:
Patriots, Loyalists Game Book, 160–92

WEEK 5
February 5 — Patriots, Loyalists: Game Session 6 (July 1776)
Paper #1 due 11am for rest of students

February 7 — Patriots, Loyalists: Debriefing

WEEK 6
February 12 — Hamilton: An American Musical I
Reading:

February 14 — Hamilton II
Reading:

WEEK 7
February 19 — The Vietnam War at Home I
Reading:

February 21 — The Vietnam War at Home II
Reading:
Use your birthdate and the chart on eLearning to find what your lottery number would have been, had you been subject to the 1970 Vietnam War draft. Go to the web site “Vietnam War Draft Lottery” at www.vietnamwardraftlottery.com. On this site, men who were subject to the lottery are invited to submit their memories of how they responded. Search for stories from men who had ‘your’ lottery number. If you cannot find any stories with ‘your’ number, then browse some stories from Florida. Bring one story with you to class (electronic or hard copy).
WEEK 8
February 26—Vietnam Veterans Memorial Competition
Reading:
Primary documents including the Call for Entries, the winning entry, editorial/letter criticizing/defending the winning entry, statements from the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts meeting, a White House memo, and a newspaper account of the artist’s response.

February 28—How Monuments Remember History for the Present
Discussion Board Post #1 due at 11am
Final Project Instructions Discussed
Reading:

WEEK 9
March 5 and 7—No Class (Spring Break)

WEEK 10
March 12—South Africa to 1949, Early Apartheid, Voortrekker’s Monument
Reading:
Collapse of Apartheid Game Book, 1–11
Rand Daily Mail, 17 December 1949, 1, 4–5. (articles on opening of Voortrekker Monument)

Optional Reading:

March 14—Apartheid and Resistance
Role Sheets distributed in class and on eLearning
Reading:
Understanding Apartheid: Learner’s Book, Chapter 4
Collapse of Apartheid Game Book, 54–87

WEEK 11
March 19—The Situation in 1993; Game Structure
Reading:
Understanding Apartheid: Learner’s Book, Chapter 5
March 21— Photography and Resistance; Faction Meetings
Reading:

**WEEK 12**
March 26— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 1 (All-Party Talks)

March 28— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 2 (All-Party Talks)

**Paper #2 due at 11am**

**WEEK 13**
April 2— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 3 (Constitutional Working Groups)

April 4— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 4 (Constitutional Working Groups)

**WEEK 14**
April 9— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 5 (All-Party Talks)

April 11— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Game Session 6 (All-Party Talks)

**WEEK 15**
April 16— *Collapse of Apartheid*: Debriefing I

**Paper #3 due at 11am**

Reading:

April 18— Photography as History: Power and Problems

**WEEK 16**
April 23— Toppling Monuments Today: Rhodes Must Fall

**Discussion Board Post #2: Reflection due at 11am**

Reading:


**Final Project due Tuesday, April 30 at 11am**
Academic Resources:

**E-learning technical support**, 352-392-4357 (select option 2) or e-mail to Learningsupport@ufl.edu.


**Library Support**, [http://cms.uflib.ufl.edu/ask](http://cms.uflib.ufl.edu/ask). Various ways to receive assistance with respect to using the libraries or finding resources.

**Teaching Center, Broward Hall**, 392-2010 or 392-6420. General study skills and tutoring. [http://teachingcenter.ufl.edu](http://teachingcenter.ufl.edu)


**U Matter, We Care**: If you or a friend is in distress, please contact umatter@ufl.edu or (352) 392-1575 so that a team member can reach out to the student.

**Counseling and Wellness Center**: [http://www.counseling.ufl.edu](http://www.counseling.ufl.edu) or (352) 392-157

**University Police Department**: (352) 392-1111 or 9-1-1 for emergencies

**Sexual Assault Recovery Services (SARS)**, Student Health Care Center, (352) 392-1161.
### Rubric for Patriots, Loyalists role performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENT</th>
<th>A/A-</th>
<th>B+/B/B-</th>
<th>C+/C/C-</th>
<th>D+ and below</th>
<th>Maximum points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engages with role and stays in character</td>
<td>Shows great enthusiasm in depicting role without deviating from character (18–20 points)</td>
<td>Shows consistent engagement with role without major deviations from character (16–17 points)</td>
<td>Shows lackluster engagement with role and/or substantive deviations from character (14–15 points)</td>
<td>Shows poor engagement with role and/or very significant and strongly historically inaccurate deviations from character (&lt;14 points)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates knowledge of character’s historical moment, political beliefs, social outlook, and other aspects of identity</td>
<td>Interprets role in correct, insightful, and imaginative way that extensively evokes primary sources (18–20 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role mostly correctly, if somewhat predictably and evokes primary sources (16–17 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role somewhat correctly with a predictable portrayal of the basic elements of the character and little mention of primary sources (14–15 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role with significant errors, inattention to the basic elements of the character, and little to no mention of primary sources (&lt;14 points)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL THINKING</td>
<td>Insightfully analyzes appropriate issues to formulate (1) persuasive arguments that extensively incorporate primary sources and (2) comprehensive, creative strategies (36–40 points)</td>
<td>Analyzes appropriate issues well to formulate (1) mostly persuasive arguments that incorporate ideas from primary sources and (2) somewhat predictable strategies (32–35 points)</td>
<td>Analyzes issues, but somewhat incompletely and/or superficially to formulate (1) somewhat thin arguments with few ideas from the primary sources and (2) somewhat underdeveloped strategies (28–31 points)</td>
<td>Deficient analysis of issues (e.g. wholly incomplete or off-topic) to formulate (1) thin, faulty arguments with few to no idea from the primary sources and (2) underdeveloped strategies (&lt;28 points)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaks, strategizes, and acts in a manner calculated to advance the character’s goals</td>
<td>Collaborates, asks questions, challenges other characters, and answers challenges with insight and strategic thinking; thoughtfully and dynamically adapts speech, plans, and actions based on other characters’ speech and actions (36–40 points)</td>
<td>Collaborates, asks questions, poses challenges to other characters, and answers challenges; adapts speech, plans, and actions based on other characters’ speech and actions (32–35 points)</td>
<td>Collaborates unevenly, asks few questions, poses few challenges to others, and/or avoids drawing questions/challenges; generally sticks to planned speech and actions with minimal adaptation based on other characters’ speech and actions (28–31 points)</td>
<td>Avoids collaboration, asking questions, posing challenges to others, and/or drawing questions/challenges’ does not adapt speech and actions based on other characters’ speech and actions (&lt;28 points)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listens to other characters and flexibly responds to other characters and game events</td>
<td>Communicates persuasively (all written and oral interventions except prepared podium speech and paper)</td>
<td>Communicates fairly clearly, confidently, and fluently; speaks with minimal notes and excellent mechanics (eye contact, posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (27–30 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with some disorganization and ambiguity; speaks with a few deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (21–23 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with notable disorganization, confusion and/or vague expression; speaks with notable deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (&lt;21 points)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATION</td>
<td>communicating clearly, confidently, and fluently; speaks with minimal notes and excellent mechanics (eye contact, posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (27–30 points)</td>
<td>Communicates fairly clearly, speaks without wholly relying on notes with good attention to mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (24–26 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with some disorganization and ambiguity; speaks with a few deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (21–23 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with notable disorganization, confusion and/or vague expression; speaks with notable deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (&lt;21 points)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PODIUM SPEECH CONTENT</td>
<td>Accurately identifies key issues for character and cogently describes them with unusual insight and attention to detail, including spont (5 points)</td>
<td>Accurately identifies key issues for character and describes relevant aspects of key issue(s) (4 points)</td>
<td>Identifies and describes issues, but overlooks relevant aspects (3.5 points)</td>
<td>Identifies and/or describes issues largely inaccurately (&lt;3.5 points)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PODIUM SPEECH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL THINKING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructs an</td>
<td>Constructs an argument with excellent organization (5 points)</td>
<td>Constructs an organized argument (4 points)</td>
<td>Constructs a somewhat disorganized argument (3.5 points)</td>
<td>Constructs a speech that lacks organization (&lt;3.5 points)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organized argument</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selects and analyzes</td>
<td>Selects evidence, including primary sources, that logically and strategically supports argument and analyzes it with unusual insight (5 points)</td>
<td>Selects evidence, including primary sources, that supports argument and analyzes it mostly correctly (4 points)</td>
<td>Selects evidence that does not support argument, neglects primary sources, and/or makes significant mistakes in analyzing evidence (3.5 points)</td>
<td>Neglects to select much evidence, selects evidence that undermines argument, and/or wholly misunderstands evidence (&lt;3.5 points)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evidence that supports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>claim(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes situation and</td>
<td>Supports character goals by formulating an address that is strategically tailored to the situation and audience, including spontaneous reactions to other characters (5 points)</td>
<td>Formulates address that supports character goals while taking the situation and audience into account (4 points)</td>
<td>Formulates address that generally supports character goals, but largely neglects to take the situation and audience into account (3.5 points)</td>
<td>Does not formulate address that supports character goals or take the situation and audience into account in (&lt;3.5 points)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>audience into account</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in formulating an</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>address that will</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>further character</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PODIUM SPEECH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATION</td>
<td>Speaks clearly, confidently, and fluidly with minimal notes and excellent contact, posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone (5 points)</td>
<td>Speaks mostly clearly without wholly relying on notes with solid attention to eye contact and other elements (posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (4 points)</td>
<td>Speaks with hesitation and some ambiguity, as well as a few deficits in eye contact and other elements (posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (3.5 points)</td>
<td>Speaks with notable disorganization, confusion, and/or vague expression and notable deficits in eye contact and other elements (posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (&lt;3.5 points)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaks persuasively</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with attention to the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mechanics of good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>public speaking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS</td>
<td>175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rubric for *Collapse of Apartheid* role performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENT</th>
<th>A/A-</th>
<th>B+/B/B-</th>
<th>C+/C/C-</th>
<th>D+ and below</th>
<th>Maximum points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engages with role and stays in character</td>
<td>Shows great enthusiasm in depicting role without deviating from character (18–20 points)</td>
<td>Shows consistent engagement with role without major deviations from character (16–17 points)</td>
<td>Shows lackluster engagement with role and/or substantive deviations from character (14–15 points)</td>
<td>Shows poor engagement with role and/or very significant and strongly historically inaccurate deviations from character (&lt;14 points)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrates knowledge of character’s historical moment, political beliefs, social outlook, and other aspects of identity</td>
<td>Interprets role in correct, insightful, and imaginative way that extensively evokes primary sources (18–20 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role mostly correctly, if somewhat predictably, and evokes primary sources (16–17 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role somewhat correctly with a predictable portrayal of the basic elements of the character and little mention of primary sources (14–15 points)</td>
<td>Interprets role with significant errors, inattention to the basic elements of the character, and little to no mention of primary sources (&lt;14 points)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL THINKING</td>
<td>Insightfully analyzes appropriate issues to formulate (1) persuasive arguments that extensively incorporate primary sources and (2) comprehensive, creative strategies (36–40 points)</td>
<td>Analyzes appropriate issues well to formulate (1) mostly persuasive arguments that incorporate ideas from primary sources and (2) somewhat predictable strategies (32–35 points)</td>
<td>Analyzes issues, but somewhat incompletely and/or superficially to formulate (1) somewhat thin arguments with few ideas from primary sources and (2) somewhat underdeveloped strategies (28–31 points)</td>
<td>Deficient analysis of issues (wholly incomplete or off-topic) to formulate (1) thin, faulty arguments with few or no ideas from primary sources and (2) underdeveloped strategies (&lt;28 points)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listens to other characters and flexibly responds to other characters and game events</td>
<td>Collaborates, asks questions, challenges other characters, and answers challenges with insight and strategic thinking; thoughtfully and dynamically responds to other characters and events (45–50 points)</td>
<td>Collaborates, asks questions, poses challenges to other characters, and answers challenges; responds to other characters and events (40–44 points)</td>
<td>Collaborates unevenly, asks few questions, poses few challenges to others, and/or avoids drawing questions/challenges; generally sticks to plans with minimal response to game events or other characters’ speech and actions (35–39 points)</td>
<td>Avoids collaboration, asking questions, posing challenges to others, and/or drawing questions/challenges; does not respond to game events or other characters’ speech and actions (&lt;35 points)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNICATION</td>
<td>Communicates very clearly, confidently, and fluently; speaks with minimal notes and excellent mechanics (eye contact, posture, gestures, pacing, volume, and tone) (45–50 points)</td>
<td>Communicates fairly clearly; speaks without wholly relying on notes with good attention to mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (40–44 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with some disorganization and ambiguity; speaks with a few deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (35–39 points)</td>
<td>Communicates with notable disorganization, confusion and/or vague expression; speaks with notable deficits in mechanics (eye contact, etc.) (&lt;35 points)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Education Objectives and Learning Outcomes
This course is a Humanities (H) subject area course in the UF General Education Program. Humanities courses provide instruction in the history, key themes, principles, terminology, and theory or methodologies used within a humanities discipline or the humanities in general. Students will learn to identify and to analyze the key elements, biases and influences that shape thought. These courses emphasize clear and effective analysis and approach issues and problems from multiple perspectives.

Humanities Student Learning Outcomes
At the conclusion of the course, students will be able to…
- Identify, describe, and explain the history, underlying theory and methodologies used in the course (Content).
- Identify and analyze key elements, biases and influences that shape thought within the subject area. Approach issues and problems within the discipline from multiple perspectives (Critical Thinking).
- Communicate knowledge, thoughts and reasoning clearly and effectively (Communication).

This course also meets the International (N) of the UF General Education Program. International courses promote the development of students’ global and intercultural awareness. Students examine the cultural, economic, geographic, historical, political, and/or social experiences and processes that characterize the contemporary world, and thereby comprehend the trends, challenges, and opportunities that affect communities around the world. Students analyze and reflect on the ways in which cultural, economic, political, and/or social systems and beliefs mediate their own and other people’s understanding of an increasingly connected world.

International Student Learning Outcomes
At the conclusion of the course, students will be able to…
- Identify, describe, and explain the historical, cultural, economic, political, and/or social experiences and processes that characterize the contemporary world.
- Analyze and reflect on the ways in which cultural, economic, political, and/or social systems and beliefs mediate understandings of an increasingly connected contemporary world.

UF Quest 1 Program Objectives and Learning Outcomes
This course is a UF Quest 1 subject area course in the UF Quest Program. Grounded in the modes of inquiry and expression characteristic of the arts and humanities, Quest 1 courses invite students to explore essential questions that transcend the boundaries of any one discipline—the kinds of complex and open-ended questions they will face as critical, creative, and thoughtful adults navigating a complex and interconnected world.

UF Quest 1 courses…
- Address in relevant ways the history, key themes, principles, terminologies, theories, and methodologies of various arts and humanities disciplines that enable us to ask essential questions about the human condition.
- Present different arts and humanities disciplines’ distinctive elements, along with their biases and influences on essential questions about the human condition.
- Require students to explore at least one arts or humanities resource outside their classroom and explain how engagement with it complements classroom work.
- Enable students to analyze and evaluate essential questions about the human condition clearly and effectively in writing and other forms appropriate to the discipline.
- Embed critical analysis of the role arts and humanities play in the lives of individuals and societies and the role they might play in students’ undergraduate degree programs.

UF Quest 1 Student Learning Outcomes
At the conclusion of the course, students will be able to…
• Identify, describe, and explain the history, theories, and methodologies used to examine essential questions about the human condition within and across the arts and humanities disciplines incorporated into the course (Content).

• Analyze and evaluate essential questions about the human condition using established practices appropriate for the arts and humanities disciplines incorporated into the course (Critical Thinking).

• Connect course content with critical reflection on their intellectual, personal, and professional development at UF and beyond (Connection).

• Develop and present clear and effective responses to essential questions in oral and written forms as appropriate to the relevant humanities disciplines incorporated into the course (Communication).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Course Objectives</strong></th>
<th><strong>Student Learning Outcomes</strong></th>
<th><strong>Assessment</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This course will accomplish the General Education and UF Quest I objectives by…</td>
<td>At the conclusion of the course, students will be able to…</td>
<td>This will be assessed by…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONTENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Addressing the essential questions of the course through an active learning pedagogy and case studies centered on New York in 1775-76, South Africa in 1993, and Washington, DC in 1981 | Identify, describe, and explain philosophical, political, social, and economic factors that affected the debate over revolution in 1775–1776 New York and the transition to a post-apartheid order in 1993 South Africa Identify art, visual works, and monuments that interpret conflict, such as the Vietnam Veterans Memorial; *Hamilton: An American Musical*; and photography and monuments from South Africa, and describe and explain how they do so | Quiz  
*Patriots, Loyalists* role performance, speech outline assignment, and paper  
*Collapse of Apartheid* role performance and papers  
Final Project |
| **CRITICAL THINKING** | | |
| Presenting approaches from the disciplines of art history, history, and literature that address the essential questions and the complex political, cultural, social, and economic dynamics at work in conflict, conflict resolution, and art that responds to conflict  
Requiring students to explore relevant works at UF’s Harn Museum of Art or another venue and to explain how they address the essential questions | Assess arguments that address the central questions from multiple perspectives by identifying and analyzing their key elements, biases, and influences  
Evaluate how the interpretation of conflict in art, visual works, and monuments speaks to contemporary society | *Patriots, Loyalists* role performance, speech outline assignment, and paper  
*Collapse of Apartheid* role performance and papers  
Discussion Board Post #1  
Final Project |
| **COMMUNICATION** | | |
| Embedding critical analysis of the role arts and humanities play in the lives of individuals and societies and the role they might play in students’ undergraduate degree program | Relate the course’s discussion of conflict, its resolution, and its representation to their intellectual development at UF and beyond | Discussion Board Post #2 |
| Enabling students to analyze and evaluate the essential questions orally and in writing | Formulate arguments that address the essential questions using historical or art historical evidence  
Create a design for a monument or work of public art that addresses the essential questions | *Patriots, Loyalists* role performance, speech outline assignment, and paper  
*Collapse of Apartheid* role performance and papers  
Feedback to Speaking Partner  
Discussion Board Posts  
Final Project |